



GPT AI: When Will I Be Replaced?

April 13, 2023



ReedSmith
Driving progress
through partnership

In the Headlines...

Chat GPT is putting the future of grad lawyers under the microscope

BY SAM MCKEITH - MAR 23, 2023 9:00 AM AEDT

LSJ
ONLINE

FORBES > INNOVATION > CONSUMER TECH

GPT-4 Beats 90% Of Lawyers Trying To Pass The Bar

John Koetsier Senior Contributor ©
John Koetsier is a journalist, analyst, author, and speaker.

Mar 1

Legal Industry Reacts to Goldman Sachs Generative AI Report: Keep Calm and Panic

Few challenged the Goldman Sachs report stating that 44% of legal tasks would be replaced by generative AI. But many had distinct theories about what that would mean for the industry.

March 30, 2023 at 10:13 PM

🕒 8 minute read | Legaltech News

Will artificial intelligence replace your lawyer—and will its name be Harvey?

BY ARON SOLOMON

March 1, 2023 at 6:51 AM EST

FORTUNE

So, You Think AI is New?

- **1950:** *Can Machines Think* – Allan Turing
- **1956:** “Artificial Intelligence” formally coined as a term by John McCarthy at the Dartmouth Summer Research Project On Artificial Intelligence (DSRPAI)
- **1963:** The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) funds AI at MIT
- **1986:** First autonomous car is built by Carnegie Mellon University
- **1997:** Deep Blue defeats Gary Kasparov in chess
- **2015:** Dave Cohen and Marcin Krieger publish “7 Barriers to the Use of Predictive Coding”

The Concept of AI is Even Older



What Does GPT stand for in ChatGPT?

- a. Great Party Talk
- b. Global Personnel Threat
- c. General Purpose Telecommunicator
- d. Generative Pre-trained Transformer

What Jobs Will AI Change/Replace Next?

3-5 years

- Nearly all automated and most online customer service
- Digital assistants and low-skill administrative and clerical work
- Data entry and transcription

5-10 years

- Medical diagnosis
- Education and training
- Creative work (art, music, journalism, and media)
- Engineering and design
- Financial advising, accounting, and portfolio management

10-20 years

- ?

A Conversation With ChatGPT

What are commonly cited Illinois State Court opinions regarding privilege?

1. *People v. Adams*, 211 Ill. 2d 429 (2004) - This case dealt with the attorney-client privilege and held that the privilege belongs to the client, not the attorney, and may only be waived by the client..
2. *Waste Management, Inc. v. International Surplus Lines Ins. Co.*, 144 Ill. 2d 178 (1991) - This case established the "joint defense privilege" in Illinois, which allows parties with a common interest in litigation to share privileged information without waiving the privilege.
3. *Gillard v. Boulder Terrace Nursing Home, Inc.*, 2012 IL App (1st) 111733 - This case clarified the scope of the physician-patient privilege in Illinois and held that the privilege extends to information disclosed by a patient to a physician's assistant.
4. *Schaffer v. Weast*, 69 Ill. 2d 171 (1977) - This case established the "work product doctrine" in Illinois, which protects materials prepared by an attorney in anticipation of litigation from disclosure to the opposing party.

A Conversation With ChatGPT

Tell me, like a lawyer, why I should not destroy evidence.

First, destroying evidence can lead to serious criminal charges. In many jurisdictions, the intentional destruction of evidence is a crime and can result in severe penalties, including fines and imprisonment. This is particularly true in cases where the evidence is important to a criminal investigation, such as in cases of fraud or embezzlement.

Second, destroying evidence can result in adverse inferences at trial. If a party destroys evidence, the court may infer that the evidence was unfavorable to the party's case. This means that destroying evidence can significantly weaken a party's position in court and damage their credibility.

Finally, destroying evidence can result in civil liability. In civil cases, parties have a duty to preserve all relevant evidence, and failure to do so can lead to significant legal consequences. If a party destroys evidence, they may be subject to monetary sanctions or even default judgments.

In summary, destroying evidence can have severe legal consequences, including criminal charges, adverse inferences, and civil liability. As an attorney, I strongly advise against destroying evidence and recommend preserving all evidence related to any legal matter.

A Conversation With ChatGPT

Now say all that again as a haiku.

**Preserve evidence,
Destroying it leads to cost,
Legal woes abound.**

A Conversation With ChatGPT

When will you be able to replace legal professionals?

- As an AI language model, I am not designed to replace legal professionals. While AI technology has advanced significantly in recent years, there are still many tasks and areas of legal practice that require human expertise, such as providing legal advice and advocacy, negotiating with opposing counsel, and representing clients in court.
- AI can be a valuable tool for legal professionals, however, by helping to automate certain routine tasks such as document review, legal research, and contract drafting, freeing up lawyers to focus on higher-level tasks and providing more efficient and cost-effective legal services to clients.
- In short, while AI technology can augment and enhance the work of legal professionals, it cannot replace them entirely, and the value of human expertise and judgment will remain essential in the legal profession.

That's What It Wants You to Believe...

Many legal functions are already being replaced or heavily supplanted by AI-powered tools.

- Searching and research
- Risk assessment
- Contract analysis
- Document review

AI tools will eventually replace or supplement most attorney functions.

- Drafting of filings and pleadings
- Crafting legal arguments based on existing case law
- Finding case law to support novel legal theories and even developing new legal theories to argue a client's position

Will AI Replace Most Legal Professionals?

- a. Yes
- b. Sooner than you think!

Reuters.com - lawyers won't be replaced by artificial intelligence. Yet. Give it a few years. (HINT: BE AFRAID)

<https://www.reuters.com/legal/transactional/will-chatgpt-make-lawyers-obsolete-hint-be-afraid-2022-12-09/#:~:text=No%2C%20lawyers%20won't%20be%20replaced%20by%20artificial%20intelligence.>



How to Delay Being Replaced by AI

- Be comfortable working with technology – Luddites will be the first to go.
- Be flexible and adaptable – as parts of what you do are replaced, find other essential work that has not yet been replaced.
- Continue learning – including how best to design, train, support, leverage, and improve the new technology – those skills will all be needed for the foreseeable future.
- Plan for the new future – there is nothing wrong with the 20-hour workweek (or less) if everyone's needs are met and we can continue to live interesting and vibrant lives!

When We Are Replaced...



Thank You!



David Cohen
drcohen@reedsmith.com

ReedSmith